



Internal Audit Services

Report

Appointments and Disciplinary Committee

Reference Number: 23-40

Date Issued: December 2023

Audit Team	
Audit Manager	Andrew Barnes

Distribution List	
Director of Legal Services	Kim Sawyer
Executive Director (Finance & Resources)	Joe Chesterton
Chief Executive	Rob Tinlin

No part of this document should be reproduced without the prior written permission of the Head of Internal Audit.

The information contained within this document is confidential and should not be provided to persons other than those authorised.

All engagements are conducted in conformance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing.

Objective

The Audit and Disciplinary Committee agreed an audit review will be conducted to determine if governance of the process leading to recommendation in the report made to it on 27th July was robust, and whether sufficient information was presented to this committee on Wednesday 21st June 2023 to enable it to reach a proper decision.

Overall, the arrangements in place to mutually agree with the Chief Executive termination of their employment were appropriately planned, with suitable external legal advice obtained. Although there were instances where this advice was not followed in its entirety, this was not significant to the overall conclusion and decision that was reached.

At the meeting on 21st June 2023, there was no formal report about this issue with verbal information presented to the Appointments & Disciplinary Committee. The Committee considered that they were being asked to reach a decision in relation to an employment issue, however without a formal item on the agenda, or a written report, the Committee was not appropriately convened to make any decision on this issue. As a result there are a number of lessons to be learned in respect of decision making by the Committee.

Scope

The scope has been set by the Committee as outlined in the objectives set out above.

Recommendations are only made where they are necessary to further mitigate risks identified. Therefore, they should be implemented by the date agreed unless there is a good operational reason why this is not practical.

Governance arrangements of the decision to replace the Chief Executive and Monitoring Officer

In May 2023 a new Cabinet was formed following the elections. After a period of investigation and consideration of the functioning of the Council, and what was required going forward, it was agreed informally by the new Cabinet that the Chief Executive would be asked to step down from his appointment.

An Executive Director arranged for the appointment of a specialist external legal advisor to support the Council through the process.

An action plan with timetable was prepared in consultation with the external advisor to ensure that the appropriate people were engaged with and advised at the appropriate time in the process. This also identified potential risks that could arise as the process was worked through, and potential responses to those risks should they arise.

An initial conversation took place with the former Chief Executive. The contents of that conversation are confidential as they relate to the rights of the CEO as an employee of Southend City Council and are protected by an agreement reached mutually with the former Chief Executive.

The former Chief Executive did take advice following the conversation and the next morning confirmed that he would enter into a 'protected conversation'. Following this confirmation from the Chief Executive, negotiations were successfully completed utilising the advice from the external legal advisor, with the results and outcome of those negotiations reported to the meeting of the Appointments and Disciplinary Committee (A&DC) meeting on 27th July.

The A&DC approved the proposed terms and severance payments agreed in principle with the officers, and it was within their delegated constitutional power to do so.

Therefore, the decision to mutually agree with the Chief Executive termination of his employment was appropriately governed and approved, in accordance with the Council's Constitution.

However, some of the minutes of the A&DC meetings (including the meetings on 21st June and 27th July) have yet to be reported to and approved by the A&DC or reported onto Council. This represents a change in practice that has weakened the governance of the Committee and the approval of minutes of the previous meeting should happen consistently to ensure that there is clarity and agreement over the decisions that were made by the Committee.

Information presented to the Appointments and Disciplinary Committee on 21st June to enable it to reach a proper decision

The A&DC was meeting on 21st June to interview for, consider and approve the proposed appointment to two director posts. This business was undertaken and the two appointments were made and approved.

The Leader was Chairing the meeting and once the business that the AD&C had been convened for was completed, the Leader raised a further matter in confidence for consideration by the Committee. Matters can be raised verbally where the Committee need to be briefed on upcoming issues. As the Committee were already convened for the above purpose and because this was a significant matter of some urgency the Leader briefed the Committee on events that day. Whatever the Committee believed, this could not amount to a formal decision as there was no item on the agenda, or written report provided. The discussion took place informally and after the close of the business of the Committee and therefore no formal decision making could occur.

Given the terms of the settlement agreement, no additional information is provided in this report about the nature and details of the discussion that occurred in June 2023 as this is a confidential employment matter.

The minutes of the meeting record this confidential discussion between the Leader and the members of the Committee as a briefing of the Committee. There is some confusion arising between what the Committee thought the purpose of that meeting was and therefore whether that process was appropriate.

As a result there are a number of lessons to be learned:

- Although the Constitution does allow for the Chair of the meeting to allow or
 introduce additional items of business, this option should only be pursued in
 very exceptional circumstances. Any meeting of the Committee should
 ordinarily be provided with a written report at least 5 clear working days in
 advance of a meeting with appropriate information to take any decisions that
 are being asked of it.
- Where additional items of business are to be introduced, the necessary subject matter expert officers to support the additional business should be in the meeting to facilitate the discussion and conclusion of that additional business.
- Non-subject matter expert Officers that are in the meeting should seek to
 provide advice to the Chair that additional items of business need the
 appropriate support and guidance from relevant subject matter expert officers,
 so that the meeting is provided with the support that it requires to make an
 appropriate decision, and where that has not been achieved to prevent the
 item from being discussed by the Committee.
- The Members of any committee asked to consider additional items of business are empowered not to do so, without the necessary report, evidence, support and guidance from relevant subject matter expert officers, and that if they are asked to do so they decline, and request that the meeting be reconvened with the appropriate information and support available.

In undertaking this review, I have also sought the opinion of the Director of Legal & Democratic Services who holds the Monitoring Officer role. Her opinion is as follows:

As Monitoring Officer, I concur with the Internal Auditor that the governance of the process leading to the recommendation in the report in July 2023 was overall robust. By the request from the Committee, there was some confusion about what the Committee were being advised in June 2023, what powers the Committee were exercising and whether they were making a decision or receiving a briefing about an ongoing and developing situation.

The Committee are asking whether the process was robust. Some improvements are proposed by the Auditor. The process improvements do not impact the soundness of the decision made by the Committee in July 2023.

Decision of the Committee in July 2023

At Southend Council an agreement for mutual termination of employment was reached in a confidential settlement agreement in July 2023. In my opinion as Monitoring Officer the process followed did not give rise to any concerns. The process was lawful and proper.

The process is set out in the Council's constitution (Part 3 & Part 4(h) Officer Employment Procedure Rules) and in statute. The process was outlined in the exempt report to Committee on 27 July 2023. The Committee agreed those recommendations.

Decision of the Committee in June 2023

A month earlier, in June 2023 the Committee was in the very early stages of that process. The minutes of the meeting record that the Chair (the Leader) briefed the Committee informally and in confidence on the process and on discussions with the CEO. Despite the request by the Appointments and Disciplinary Committee to receive reassurance on whether a 'proper decision' was reached, no formal decision was made, or could be made, by the Committee at that point in the process.

Given that the discussion on 21 June occurred in exempt session (Part 2), no further comment is made regarding that briefing of the Committee. Should the Audit Committee wish to discuss the details of that meeting further, it is requested that the Committee agrees to enter exempt session (Part 2).

Other internal audit observations

The Chief Executive appointment and approval process is particularly important in a finely politically balanced council and it is important that appointments in that situation are made in collaboration between the main political groups and that all parties agree that they have found the right person for the role

As has been demonstrated in the most recent recruitment process, it is better to involve all the political Group Leaders to appoint, set and review the objectives for the Chief Executive in collaboration, so that in the event of political control changing hands there remains some stability for the Chief Executive. That group of members can also be used to discuss and try to agree any course of action that needs to be taken in respect of the Chief Executive should any issues arise.

Whilst the Leader did discuss with other members of his own group and the Cabinet about requesting the Chief Executive to step down, it would have been better to also discuss and obtain the agreement of the other Group Leaders so as to achieve cross party agreement in advance of progressing with any action.

Reporting

The report has been:

- discussed and agreed with the Director of Legal Services
- discussed and agreed with the Interim Chief Executive.

The results of this audit work will be reported to the Audit Committee in January 2024.

Revisiting this report

Senior management will monitor and sign off this action plan as part of the Department's performance management process. Internal Audit will revisit this report to check that the actions agreed have been implemented properly.

Corporate Links

Priority A transforming council delivering efficient, cost-effective

services.

This report can be provided in alternative formats such as Braille, audiotape or in large print.

Translations of this document in alternative languages are also available.

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

Appendix 1: Appointments and Disciplinary Committee Action Plan

	Management Action Required		Benefit	Lead officer	When
R1	Re-introduce the discipline of consistently providing approval of minutes for the previous meeting of the A&DC on an ongoing basis. If there is not a meeting of the A&DC scheduled in the foreseeable future circulate the draft minutes by email and obtain approval from the Members of the A&DC outside of the meeting schedule.	me ma tha	cumented and agreed minutes of etings provide a clear decision-king audit trail to clarify the decision t was taken by the Committee and eport the rebuttal of any challenge to se decisions.	Robert Harris (Principal Democratic Services Officer)	January 2024
R2	Update the Constitution to reflect that where a Committee Chair wants to introduce an additional item of business, they need to have appropriate support and advice from suitable subject matter expert officers, and for them to be in the meeting to enable them to do so. If appropriate support cannot be provided in time, then the meeting will need to be reconvened at a time when that support can be in place to consider the further business.	bef to b	rity of circumstances in which ditional items of business may be put ore a meeting will enable all Members be clear about the arrangements that ed to be fulfilled to enable this to open.	Kim Sawyer (Director of Legal Services)	March 2024
R3	Use the A&DC, as that involves all the political Group Leaders, to set and review the objectives for the Chief Executive in collaboration and raise any performance related issues with the Chief Executive.	Exe the to h	olvement of all political Group aders will reduce the risk of the Chief ecutive being perceived to side with Administration and enable all Groups have an input to feedback on delivery he role, resulting in confidence in the ent of change in political control.	Kim Sawyer (Director of Legal Services)	March 2024